I. Meeting starts Grant introduces McKeown

II. McKeown introduces minutes. Minutes are approved.

III. Grant discusses NTC reorganization, introduces four assistant and associate deans and their subdirectories, discusses personnel movement

IV. Grant reviews NTC standing committees established by the constitution, particularly vacancies and/or discrepancies in the composition of the committees

V. Standing committee reports. Grant represented Executive Committee and had nothing to report

VI. Schrader reports on Honors Committee. Of note
   • approximately 25% of the incoming class of ~1740 will be honors
   • 70% of honors students admitted accepted
   • Honors first-year colloquium determined to be community building
e   • candidates applied for Fulbright and 17 were finalists
   • 52 thesis writers out of 94 honors students

VII. Lusnia introduces Study Abroad Committee. Of note
   • 784 students will be going abroad next academic year, up considerably from last year.
   • Several new study abroad programs announced
   • New process for faculty-led study abroad discussed and will be appended to the Committee report and put on line on the web

VIII. Curriculum Committee presented by Lay, with nothing to report.

IX. Curriculum Committee presented by Vail. Of note,
   • 79 petitions were reviewed by the committee, mostly having to do with waivers of NTC requirements
   • Volume of petitions and urgency of some warrants a formal procedure, to include chair adjudicating non-controversial petitions (category of non-controversial petitions established by constitutional procedure)
   • Discussion of rule allowing students to late-drop one time in their first two years without a waiver.
X. Academic Integrity Committee presented by Rodning. Of note,
   • Maxient online academic integrity reporting system is not live

XI. Grant reports no reports from Special Committees and no unfinished business

XII. Academic Affairs reported on by Lay. Of note,
   • Faculty chair of honor board position announced
   • Concerns about the honor code discussed and how issues with the code potentially compromise academic integrity process
   • New proposed honor code discussed (distributed prior to the meeting)
   • Standard of clear and convincing evidence changed to "preponderance of the evidence"
   • classes designed to discourage repeat offenses under consideration
   • Discussion of range of sanctions available to the faculty
   • added language pertaining to school of professional advancement
   • Vail asked about how Honor Board rules apply to conduct in the Goldman Testing Center
   • A great deal of conversation took place about the role of NTC Academic Integrity committee in violations and alleged violations involving SOPA students
   • Mayer expressed concerns about the administrative burden of electronic reporting
   • Considerable discussion ensued concerning challenges for faculty with regard to reporting suspected violations of academic integrity
   • Maxient online form discussed

XIII. Grant wrapped up meeting with discussion of sophomore declaration day and moved to adjourn

XIV. Meeting adjourned